

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/812,366 | 03/26/2004 | J. Yun Tso | 05882.0114.NPUS01 | 3678 | |
| 28120 FISH & NEAV | 7590 01/10/2007 YE IP GROUP | | EXAMINER | | |
| ROPES & GRAY LLP | | GRUN, JAMES LESLIE | | | |
| ONE INTERN. BOSTON, MA | ATIONAL PLACE :02110-2624 | | . ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| , , , , | | 1641 | 1641 | | |
| | | | | | |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | , MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 31 D | DAYS | 01/10/2007 | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| · | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|------|--|--|--|
| | 10/812,366 | TSO ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | James L. Grun | 1641 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet v | rith the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO to cause the application to become A | ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application | • | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | <i>:</i> | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | | by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeya | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | tion is required if the drawing | g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121 | (d). | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attache | d Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | л (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies no | received. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | " | O (DTO 440) | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | Informal Patent Application | | | | |

Art Unit: 1641

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

I. Claims 1-16, 18, and 38, drawn to antibodies, classified in Class 530, subclass 388.24.

- II. Claim 17, drawn to encoding nucleic acids, classified in Class 536, subclass23.53.
- III. Claims 19-33, drawn to a therapeutic method, classified in Class 424, subclass 145.1.
- IV. Claims 34-37, drawn to a method of making antibodies, classified in Class 436, subclass 548.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are independent and distinct inventions as they involve products which differ from each other in structure, function, and use. Moreover, methods using the various products differ in design, performance, and function.

Invention II as compared to each of the inventions of III or IV are independent and distinct inventions as they involve products which differ from each other in structure, function, and use. Moreover, the methods using the various different products differ in design, performance, and function and do not require the nucleic acid products for performance.

Inventions III and IV are independent and distinct inventions as they involve methods which differ from each other in design, performance, use, function, and effect.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

Art Unit: 1641

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process does not require the particulars of the products as claimed and can be practiced with a number of other materially different products such as generic or polyclonal antibodies or an alternative antagonist. The products can also be used in a materially different process of using that product such as in affinity separation of polypeptide.

Inventions IV and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make another materially different product, such as an antibody of different sequence, and the product as claimed can be made by another materially different process, such as recombinantly.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter as shown by their different classification, and the searches required for the different Groups are not co-extensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Art Unit: 1641

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the

Art Unit: 1641

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James L. Grun, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0821. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le, SPE, can be contacted at (571) 272-0823.

The phone number for official facsimile transmitted communications to TC 1600, Group 1640, is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application, or requests to supply missing elements from Office communications, should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James L. Grun, Ph.D. January 7, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600